# SLAM INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT) IPT MEETING (QUANTICO, VA)

## 11/05/2003

### 1.0 DATE AND TIME.

Wednesday, November 5, 2003 @ 0800.

### 2.0 LOCATION.

Sverdrup Facility - Room 402.

### 3.0 ATTENDEES.

| Name | E-Mail | Telephone | Organization |
|---|---|---|---|
| Chowdhury, Ashfaque | achowdhury@newbreed.com | N/A | New Breed |
| Clements, Cathy | clementsc@ctcorp.com | 407-839-1980 | Coalescent Technologies |
| Cruley, Kyle | Kyle.cruley@bearingpoint.com | 703-519-2335 | Bearing Point |
| Drennon, Andrew | adrennon@davisdefense.com | 703-445-1616 | Davis Defense Group |
| Hunt, Dennis | dhunt@newbreed.com | 336-232-4121 | New Breed |
| Myers, Mike | myersmk@ctcorp.com | 407-839-1980 | Coalescent Technologies |
| Raczynski, John | john_raczynski@kalmanco.com | 540-446-1430 | Kalman Co |
| Schoolfield, Don | schoolfieldd@davisdefense.com | 540-446-9885 | Davis Defense Group |

### 4.0 ADMINISTRATIVE NOTES.

None.

### 5.0 SCOPE OR PURPOSE.

See Agenda.

### 6.0 AGENDA.

- New Breed IT Capabilities Brief
- GCSS-MC Background
- USMC Logistics Processes

- Interoperability Considerations
- IT-IPT Organization
- POA & M
- Out brief to Mr. Bryce and Mr. Zimmerman

## 7.0 DISCUSSION.

### 7.1 0830 – IPT Kick-off and Introductions

Mike Myers convened the meeting with introductions and a review of the agenda with the anticipated work products expected.

### 7.2 0845 – New Breed IT capabilities brief

Ashfaque Chowdhury presented New Breed's IT capability (need file name). Discussions regarding New Breed's concept of serving as an Application Service Provider (ASP) led to an assumption that the government would not own the IT infrastructure or impose operational restrictions on New Breed's business processes within the CSF network (with the exception of government regulatory processes).

ACTION: Determine PMOs position on the requirement for the government to own the system (M. Myers)

Mr. Chowdhury continued the brief by describing each application used in their IT solutions to support logistics processes. It was noted that some of the applications used in those solutions are developed and owned by New Breed.

In discussions regarding compatibility with existing Marine Corps legacy systems, John Raczynski suggested the team adopt the terminology "Class I" for applications developed for service-wide and "Class II" for applications developed for and used in non-standardized situations.

GROUNDRULE: Future references to "legacy systems" will be addressed as "Class I systems" and "Class II systems" as applicable.

During the slide presenting New Breed's performance with DLA, Kyle Cruley asked if they were able to create MILSTRIP transactions through their system. New Breed's response was they receive the data from a third party process it and return it the same way. Mr. Chowdhury said he would check with his company to gain more detail regarding the transactions.

ACTION: Investigate New Breed's ability to create MILSTRIP transactions

The slides presenting the proposed NBCDE Management System depicted it as the single point of interface with USMC Class I systems. The team agreed that further refinement of the concept will continue as applicable USMC IT policies and standards are identified.

Discussions regarding SORTS reporting led to questions regarding data content and systems interface. The team agreed that no system interface is required

outside the CSF network and the data would be available to end users via a web interface provided by the CSF network.

TAV and the team's understanding of the boundaries associated with the term were discussed. It was agreed that TAV for this project will initially include all functional elements within the CSF network.

### 7.3 1110 – GCSS-MC Background.

Following the New Breed presentation, the team agreed that time reserved for GCSS-MC background discussions would be better spent on discussing USMC logistics processes. The GCSS-MC Overview brief (GCSS-MC+Overview.ppt) from the GCSS-MC program office was offered as a source of information for those needing immediate input.

### 7.4 1115 – USMC Logistics Processes.

Mike Myers presented the USMC Logistics Processes slides. The slides provided system tables with functional element descriptions and the associated logistics support systems used by each MEF. It was noted that each of the MEFs use different systems to accomplish the same functional tasks. The team discussed the need for the CSF to be able to exchange data between it's network and all systems used at the present time.

GROUNDRULE: Data exchange will occur between each existing logistics system and the CSF as required by the business processes identified in the USMC logistics domain and the CSF network.

Mr. Cruley noted that his past experience with other programs had yielded significant challenges in accomplishing interfaces/interoperability with Class I and Class II USMC logistics systems.

The requirement for the IT solution to include collection of data for reporting metrics was discussed.

ACTION: Determine metrics data required for activities associated within the CSF network and beyond as required.

Detailed discussions regarding the operational and technical input required for New Breed to use in developing their system architecture continued. The team agreed upon an initial list of Class I USMC logistics systems to be required for data exchange with the CSF network. The decision to focus on those systems was based upon input from the process IPT. Operational and technical SMEs for each system will be identified through the respective PM offices and engaged as needed to provide the detail required to accomplish data exchange.

ACTION: Contact Class I USMC logistics systems PM offices and identify operational and technical SMEs for team input

During the process flow discussions, Mr. Zimmerman was present and he suggested the team contact the SRAC program office to determine if they have the existing detail of the target systems' data exchange capabilities.

ACTION: Contact SRAC office for technical interface information regarding systems identified by the IT IPT as candidates for data exchange.

The requirement for the CSF Network to comply with the GCSS-MC Operational Architecture was discussed and the team identified the need to have SME representation as needed during design, development and implementation.

ACTION: Identify SME at GCSS-MC PM Office to assist with GCSS-MC Operational Architecture requirements.

Operational processes related to mount-out and the potential impacts to the IT architecture were discussed. The process team agreed to generate the process flows and work with the IT team to determine requirements.

ACTION: Generate mount-out process flows and determine IT requirements associated.

### 7.5 1500 – Out Brief Preparation

The team concluded the discussion with a recap of identified actions and the plan ahead to accomplish the associated tasks.

The team prepared slides to use for the out brief to the PMO.

### 7.6 1600 – Out Brief to PMO

The IT-IPT presented their progress and work products to the PMO. No further actions were noted.

**MEETING ADJOURNED:** 1700

## 8.0 ACTIONS.

None.

## 9.0 FUTURE PLANS.

Next Meeting: TBD.